17. (2.5 points)

Given the following information from an insurance company's Annual Statement:
Underwriting and Investment Exhibit

| (all figures in millions of dollars) | TOTAL - All <br> Lines of Business |
| :--- | ---: |
| Direct Written Premium | 1,900 |
| Prior Year Direct Written Premium | 1,640 |
| Net Written Premium | 1,730 |
| Prior Year Net Written Premium | 1,370 |
| Assumed Written Premium from Affiliates | 220 |
| Assumed Written Premium from Non- <br> Affiliates | 120 |

Liabilities, Surplus and Other Funds
Policyholders' Surplus
a. (2 points)

Calculate IRIS Ratios 1, 2, and 3 and indicate whether or not the values are unusual.
b. (0.5 point)

Assume that in the following year, IRIS Ratio 2 is outside the usual range of values. Describe a strategy the insurance company could implement to produce an IRIS Ratio 2 result that is not unusual.

## QUESTION 17

## TOTAL POINT VALUE: 2.5 LEARNING OBJECTIVE: C2

SAMPLE ANSWERS
Part a: 2 points
IRIS Ratio 1 is "Gross Premiums Written to Policyholders' Surplus"

- Result $=100$ * $(A+B+C) / D$, where...
o $A=$ Direct Premiums Written
o B = Reinsurance Assumed - Affiliates
o C = Reinsurance Assumed - Non-Affiliates
o D = Policyholders' Surplus
- Result $=100$ * $(1,900+220+120) / 300=746.7 \%$
- The usual range for the ratio includes results up to $\mathbf{9 0 0 \%}$; this result is usual.

IRIS Ratio 2 is "Net Premiums Written to Policyholders' Surplus"

- Result = 100 * (A / B), where...
o $A=$ Net Premiums Written
o $B=$ Policyholders' Surplus
- Result = 100 * $(1,730 / 300)=576.7 \%$
- The usual range for the ratio includes results up to $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$; this result is unusual.

IRIS Ratio 3 is "Change in Net Premiums Written"

- Result = 100 * $(A-B) / B$, where...
o $A=$ Net Premiums Written, Current Year
o $B=$ Net Premiums Written, Prior Year
- Result $=100$ * $(1,730-1,370) / 1,370=\mathbf{2 6 . 3 \%}$
- The usual range for the ratio includes results from $\mathbf{- 3 3 \%}$ to $\mathbf{+ 3 3 \%}$; this result is usual.

Part b: 0.5 point
Sample answers include:

- The company could reduce their NWP by a variety of strategies: writing less business, securing new reinsurance, or lowering the retention (i.e. increase ceding) on their existing reinsurance
- The company could increase PHS by a variety of strategies: securing a higher ceding commission on their reinsurance, securing capital from a parent company, lowering expenses via layoffs, or lowering held reserves


## EXAMINER'S REPORT

Candidates were expected to know and calculate IRIS ratios 1-3, interpret the results, and demonstrate understanding of the ratio components.

## Part a

Candidates were expected to identify the components, accurately calculate the ratio, and indicate whether the calculated ratios produce unusual values for each of the three IRIS ratios.

Common errors include:

- Leaving out one or both of the assumed written premium amounts for Ratio 1
- Dividing by the current year NWP instead of prior year NWP for Ratio 3


## Part b

Candidates were expected to identify a strategy that either lowered NWP, raised PHS, or both. The most common answer was to acquire more reinsurance, although all answers that correctly demonstrated a decrease to the numerator or an increase to the denominator were accepted.

Common errors include:

- Not knowing the definition of IRIS Ratio 2
- Erroneously suggesting a decrease to reinsurance

