

EXAM 6 – UNITED STATES, SPRING 2016

26. (4.25 points)

An insurance company has entered into two agreements with the following ground-up loss distribution for the contracts:

Probability of outcome	Contract #1 Ground-Up Loss Outcome	Contract # 2 Ground-Up Loss Outcome
0.01	113,000	111,000
0.04	43,000	11,000
0.05	30,000	1,000
0.10	20,000	500
0.30	3,000	250
0.50	0	0

Both contracts are with non-affiliated reinsurers that are not state-mandated involuntary pools or federal insurance programs.

At the end of the year, the following information is available:

- Ceded premium for each contract: \$10,000
- Reinsurance Recoverable for Contract #1: 43,000
- Reinsurance Recoverable for Contract #2: 11,000
- Interest, Dividends, Due and Accrued: 6,000
- Federal Income Tax Recoverable: 21,000
- Recoverable from Parent, Subsidiaries and Affiliates: 0
- Aggregate Write-ins for other than Invested Assets: 4,500
- Loss Reserve RBC after loss concentration 75,000
- Excessive growth charge: 0

a. (1 point)

Demonstrate that Contract #1 meets the requirements for risk transfer and Contract #2 does not meet the requirements based on the 10-10 rule.

b. (3 points)

The insurance company has determined that Contract #1 meets the requirements for risk transfer and Contract #2 does not meet the requirements for risk transfer under any method. Calculate the final  $R_3$  and  $R_4$  RBC charges.

c. (0.25 point)

Briefly describe the Expected Reinsurer Deficit (ERD) method.

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

**SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT**

<b>QUESTION 26</b>																	
<b>TOTAL POINT VALUE: 4.25</b>	<b>LEARNING OBJECTIVE: E 1(b), C 2(b)</b>																
<b>SAMPLE ANSWERS</b>																	
<b>Part a: 1 point</b>																	
<p><b>Sample 1</b>            Contract #1:            10% Probability of a loss corresponds to a \$20,000 ground-up loss            Profit/Loss = \$10,000 - \$20,000 = -\$10,000            Profit/Loss (as a % of premium) = -\$10,000 / \$10,000 = -100%            Contract #1 passes because there is a 10% probability of a 10% loss to the reinsurer.</p> <p>Contract #2:            10% Probability of a loss corresponds to a \$500 ground-up loss            Profit/Loss = \$10,000 - \$500 = \$9,500            Profit/Loss (as a % of premium) = \$9,500 / \$10,000 = 95%            Contract #2 does not pass because there is not a 10% probability of a 10% loss to the reinsurer.</p> <p><b>Sample 2</b>            Contract #1:            Ground-up loss amount corresponding to a 10% loss to the reinsurer: <math>1.1 \times \\$10,000 = \\$11,000</math>            Any loss equal to or greater than \$11,000 would be a 10% or greater loss to the reinsurer.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="padding: 5px;">Probability of outcome</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">Contract #1 Ground-Up Loss Outcome</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">0.01</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">113,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">0.04</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">43,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">0.05</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">30,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">0.10</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">20,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Adding up the probabilities of ground-up losses greater than \$11,000 : <math>0.01 + 0.04 + 0.05 + 0.1 = 0.20</math>            Therefore contract #1 passes because there is a 20% probability of a 10% or more loss to the reinsurer.</p> <p>Contract #2:            Ground-up loss amount corresponding to a 10% loss to the reinsurer: <math>1.1 \times \\$10,000 = \\$11,000</math>            Any loss equal to or greater than \$11,000 would be a 10% or greater loss to the reinsurer.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="padding: 5px;">Probability of outcome</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">Contract # 2 Ground-Up Loss Outcome</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">0.01</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">111,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">0.04</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">11,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Probability of outcome	Contract #1 Ground-Up Loss Outcome	0.01	113,000	0.04	43,000	0.05	30,000	0.10	20,000	Probability of outcome	Contract # 2 Ground-Up Loss Outcome	0.01	111,000	0.04	11,000
Probability of outcome	Contract #1 Ground-Up Loss Outcome																
0.01	113,000																
0.04	43,000																
0.05	30,000																
0.10	20,000																
Probability of outcome	Contract # 2 Ground-Up Loss Outcome																
0.01	111,000																
0.04	11,000																

## SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

Adding up the probabilities of ground-up losses greater than \$11,000 :  $0.01 + 0.04 = 0.05$   
Therefore contract #2 does not pass because there is only a 5% probability of a 10% or more loss to the reinsurer.

**Part b:** 3 points

**Sample 1:**

Reinsurance Recoverables:  $\$43,000 * 0.1 = \$4,300$

Interest, Dividends, Due and Accrued:  $\$6,000 * 0.01 = \$60$

Federal Income Tax Recoverable:  $\$21,000 * 0.05 = \$1,050$

Aggregate Write-Ins for other than Invested Assets:  $\$4,500 * 0.05 = \$225$

Total =  $\$5,635$

Loss Reserve RBC after loss concentration =  $\$75,000$

Reserve RBC > RBC for reinsurance + non-invested assets

$\$75,000 > \$5,635$

Therefore, half the reinsurance charge moved to R4.

Total R3 charge =  $\$5,635 - (\$4,300 / 2) = \$3,485$

Total R4 charge =  $\$75,000 + (\$4,300 / 2) = \$77,150$

**Part c:** 0.25 point

**Sample 1:**

Expected Reinsurer Deficit (ERD): the probability of a net present value underwriting loss for the reinsurer multiplied by the NPV of the average severity of the underwriting loss.

**Sample 2:**

$ERD = P(\text{U/W loss}) * \text{Average Value of U/W Loss}$

**Sample 3:**

The expected value of a net present value underwriting loss

**Sample 4:**

$ERD = P(\text{reinsurance loss}) * \text{severity of reinsurance loss}$

## SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

<b>EXAMINER'S REPORT</b>
<b>Part a</b>
<p>Candidates were expected to correctly perform the 10-10 risk transfer test and to explain why each contract does or does not qualify as risk transfer.</p> <p>The most common errors were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Many candidates did not understand that the 10-10 rule needs to be applied based on underwriting loss. Many candidates compared loss ratio to 10% or determined minimum ground up loss to pass 10-10 rule was <math>\\$10,000 * 10\% = \\$1,000</math>.</li><li>• Using the reinsurance recoverable from each contract as premium.</li></ul>
<b>Part b</b>
<p>Candidates were expected to know the components of both R3 and R4 and the charges that apply to each category.</p> <p>The most common errors were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Applying the incorrect RBC charges to each category.</li><li>- Adding the reinsurance recoverable from contract #2 to the RBC charge.</li><li>- Not removing half the reinsurance recoverable charge from R3 and adding it to R4.</li></ul>
<b>Part c</b>
<p>Candidates either knew the answer to this question or did not. The majority of candidates received full credit for part c. There were no common themes to the incorrect responses.</p>